United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

May 20, 2020 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-00003
§	
§	
§	·
§	
	\$\text{\tin}}\text{\tin}\text{\tetx{\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\ti}\tint{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texititt{\text{\texitile}}\text{\text{\texitile}}\text{\text{\texitt{\texi}\text{\texitile}}\tinttitex{\texitt{\texitile}}\text{\tiintet{\texitint{\texiti

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the "Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge" ("R&R") (Docket No. 10). The R&R recommends dismissing Juan Carlos Laura - Arguelles' ("Plaintiff") "Prisoner Pro Se Complaint for Violations of Civil Rights" ("Motion") (Docket No. 1) and directing the Clerk of Court to close this case. Docket No. 16.

On March 18, 2020, the Clerk of Court sent the R&R via certified mail to Plaintiff. Docket No. 11. Objections are due 14 days after a *pro se* certified return receipt is docketed. *Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996). Neither party filed objections. If there have been no objections to the magistrate's ruling, then the appropriate standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law." *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). Finding no clear error, abuse of discretion, or finding contrary to law, the R&R is **ADOPTED**.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion (Docket No. 1) is **DISMISSED** and the Clerk of the Court is **ORDERED** to **CLOSE** this case.

Signed on this 20th day of May, 2020

Rolando Olvera

United States District Judge